

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/519,653	08/26/2005	Klaus Dieter Liedtke	0745/73621/NHZ	7422	
Norman H Ziv	7590 07/25/200 rin	EXAMINER			
Cooper & Dur		LENNOX, NATALIE			
New York, N	of the Americas 7 10036	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,			2626		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/25/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/519,653	LIEDTKE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
NATALIE LENNOX	2626		

	NATALIE LENNOX	2626	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 03 July 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following i application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 766.07()).	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period and valued 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of thes set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	ter form for appeal by materially red	lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1:		ottod ciairris.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (f	PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		- ipilani i anonamoni (i	
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a separate, t	•	•
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 3-5.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 2.			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
/Richemond Dorvil/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2626			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Regarding applicant's arguments as provided in page 5 of the Remarks, applicant argues that "Following tokenization, a stemmer procises is executed for analyzing the tokens to determine their respective stem. However, as described at Col. 34, lines 47-50 of Bennett, while the stemmer associates an input word with its stem, "it does not have parts of speech information.", Examiner respectfully disagrees given that Col. 34, lines 42-7 clearly present the use of "lagger 604" which outly "a string with each token tagged with a parts-of-speech label 805." Applicant pointed out to Col. 34, lines 23-31, where a "stemmer process 804A" is described, and applicant is correct in that the stemmer does not have parts of speech information, however examiner had pointed out to the description of the "tagger 804" which forms part of the "Analyzer 806B" (from Fig. 9), and as pointed in Col. 34, lines 31-33 "Analyzer 806B takes a word independent of context, and returns a set of possible parts of speech 106A". Figure 9 clearly shows the Stemmer 804A and the Analyzer 806B as two different functions. Further, applicant's arguments in Remarks page 6, lines 5-8 are directed to the new amendments to claim 1 which introduces new issues and would require some further search and consideration and consideration.